

NPIU

राष्ट्रीय परियोजना कार्यान्वयन एकक

(तकनीकी शिक्षा में विश्व बैंक सहायक परियोजना के कार्यान्वयन के लिए भारत सरकार, मानव संसाधन विकास मंत्रालय का एकक)

National Project Implementation Unit

(A UNIT OF MINISTRY OF HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT, GOVERNMENT OF INDIA FOR IMPLEMENTATION OF WORLD BANK ASSISTED PROJECTS IN TECHNICAL EDUCATION)

AC/TEQIP-II/PA/2014-15/760

Date: 11th Sep 2014

To,
Dean, Department of Chemical Technology, North Maharashtra University,
Post Box No. 80, Umavinagar, Jalgaon, Maharashtra

उत्तर महासंघ विद्यापीठ, जळगांव
डॉ. कुलगुरु कार्यालय

01 OCT 2014

Subject: TEQIP-II: Performance Audit Report..reg..

Dear Sir,

आवक क्र. 3783

As you are aware, the Performance Audit Reports of institutions participating in TEQIP-II are being reviewed to assess progress made by all project institutions to achieve academic excellence.

2. In this respect, the performance audit report of your institute was reviewed. The reviewed report along with the comments and suggestions are being sent to you for your perusal (Annex-1).
3. You are requested to make performance audit report published/uploaded on your institute's website.

With regards,

Yours faithfully,

Sd/-

(A U Digraskar)

Central Project Advisor

Encl.: Annex-1

Copy to:

- TEQIP Coordinator,
Department of Chemical Technology, North Maharashtra University,
Post Box No. 80, Umavinagar, Jalgaon, Maharashtra
- TEQIP Coordinator
State Project Facilitation Unit (SPFU), Directorate of Technical Education,
Elphinstone Technical High School Campus, 3 Mahapalika Marg
Mumbai - 400 001, Maharashtra
- Prof. K. C. Patel,
Joint Director, Department of Technical Education, Gujarat State
2nd Floor, Block No. 2, Dr. Jivraj Mehta Bhavan, Gandhinagar - 382 010, Gujarat
- Dr. Ramesh Daud
Maharashtra Institute of Technology (MIT)
S.No.124, Paud Road, Kothrud, Pune 411038, Maharashtra

Aemgaram

(A U Digraskar)

Central Project Advisor

Review of Performance Audit Report

Name of the Institution	:	University Institute of Chemical Technology, N M U, Jalgaon.	Sub-component	:	1.1
Name of Performance Auditor of the institution	:	Prof. K.C.Patel			
Name of Data Auditor of the institution	:	Dr. R.D.Dod			
Date of completion of Review	:	April 21, 2014			
CRITERIA	Rating	Comments to assist NPIU in handling the report. ¹			
i. Completeness	A	All fields are filled with relevant information.			
ii. Consistency and relevance	A	There is consistency between the grading and the remarks.			
iii. Details and specificity	A	The remarks are in detail and specific.			
iv. Meticulousness	A	The report is carefully prepared and typed.			
v. Feedback clarity	A	Feedback is clear and practicable.			
Overall rating for the report	A	This report is in old format. The report can be accepted as it is and can be sent to the Institute.			

¹The Evaluators should indicate changes needed to be made to the report before it can be sent back to the institution. For good reports (rated 'A'), these can be sent to the institution formally as a completed report. For average reports (rated 'B'), the evaluators should provide guidance on what needs to be done: such as providing more evidence in particular sections, clarifying some points, or some quick editing of the report. For poor reports (rated 'C'), the performance auditor may need to substantially revise the report or, if too much time has passed,